

ON THE OPERATOR SPACE UMD PROPERTY FOR NONCOMMUTATIVE L_p -SPACES

MAGDALENA MUSAT

ABSTRACT. We study the operator space UMD property, introduced by Pisier in the context of noncommutative vector-valued L_p -spaces. It is unknown whether the property is independent of p in this setting. We prove that for $1 < p, q < \infty$, the Schatten q -classes S_q are $OUMD_p$. The proof relies on properties of the Haagerup tensor product and complex interpolation. Using ultraproduct techniques, we extend this result to a large class of noncommutative L_q -spaces. Namely, we show that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a $QWEP$ von Neumann algebra (i.e., a quotient of a C^* -algebra with Lance's weak expectation property) equipped with a normal, faithful tracial state τ , then $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is $OUMD_p$ for $1 < p, q < \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Probabilistic techniques are well-established powerful tools in the study of Fourier analysis of vector-valued functions. In particular, Banach spaces having the UMD property, that is, the property of *unconditionality for martingale differences* play an important role. Deep connections with the boundedness of certain singular integral operators, such as the Hilbert transform, were established through the work of Burkholder, McConnell and Bourgain. Namely, Burkholder and McConnell [9] proved that if a Banach space B is UMD , then the Hilbert transform is a bounded operator on the vector-valued Lebesgue space $L_p([0, 1]; B)$, for $1 < p < \infty$. Later, Bourgain [5] showed that, conversely, the boundedness of the Hilbert transform on $L_p([0, 1]; B)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) implies that B is UMD . Recall that the Banach space B is UMD if, for $1 < p < \infty$, there exists a constant $\beta_p > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n dx_n \right\|_{L_p([0, 1]; B)} \leq \beta_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k dx_n \right\|_{L_p([0, 1]; B)},$$

for all positive integers k , all sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^k$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$ and all B -valued martingale difference sequences $dx = (dx_n)_{n=1}^k$. Equivalently, for all sequences ε as above, the ± 1 martingale transform T_ε generated by ε , i.e., $T_\varepsilon \left(\sum_{n=1}^k dx_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n dx_n$, is a bounded operator on $L_p([0, 1]; B)$, with norm estimate

$$\|T_\varepsilon : L_p([0, 1]; B) \rightarrow L_p([0, 1]; B)\| \leq \beta_p.$$

The fact that the finiteness of β_p for some $1 < p < \infty$ implies its finiteness for all such p was first proved by Pisier; see Maurey [36]. Results of Burkholder [8] provided the first example of a UMD Banach space, namely, the real line $\mathbb{R}$. Other examples include the Schatten p -classes for

$1 < p < \infty$ (Gutiérrez [22], Bourgain [6]), and the noncommutative $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ -spaces associated with a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$, equipped with a normal, semifinite, faithful (abbreviated as n.s.f.) trace τ (Berkson, Gillespie and Muhly [3]). We refer to Burkholder [10, 12] for more properties of *UMD* Banach spaces, connections to other topics and further references.

More recently, Pisier [48] developed a theory of noncommutative vector-valued Lebesgue spaces $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ associated with a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with an n.s.f. trace τ . Two restrictions are required for the theory to be satisfactory: $\mathcal{M}$ has to be hyperfinite, and E equipped with an operator space structure, that is, a sequence of matrix norms $\|\cdot\|_m$ defined on $M_m(E)$ for each positive integer m , such that for all $x \in M_m(E)$, $y \in M_n(E)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in M_m(\mathbb{C})$,

$$(1.1) \quad \|x \oplus y\|_{m+n} = \max\{\|x\|_m, \|y\|_n\}, \quad \|\alpha x \beta\|_m \leq \|\alpha\| \|x\|_m \|\beta\|.$$

We recall that the class of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras includes the algebra of bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space (in particular, matrix algebras), the classical L_∞ -spaces and group von Neumann algebras associated to amenable groups, and it is closed under von Neumann algebra tensor products. All the stability properties of the noncommutative Lebesgue spaces $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ (e.g., duality) should be formulated in the category of operator spaces.

Noncommutative conditional expectations and martingales arise naturally in this setting. The L_p -theory of noncommutative martingales has achieved a rapid and considerable progress in recent years, see, e.g., Junge [25], Junge and Xu [29, 30, 31] and Randrianantoanina [51, 53]. Also, noncommutative *BMO* spaces were studied in [38, 40, 26]. The systematic investigation of various noncommutative martingale inequalities started from the seminal paper [47] of Pisier and Xu, where they introduced noncommutative Hardy spaces of martingales and proved the analogue of the Burkholder-Gundy square function inequalities. As a consequence, it follows that for $1 < p < \infty$, the ± 1 martingale transform T_ε is a bounded operator on the noncommutative Lebesgue space $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, associated with a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ with an n.s.f. tracial state τ . This led naturally to formulating an appropriate notion of operator space *OUMD_p* property in this setting, as introduced by Pisier in [48], and to obtaining the first example of an operator space that is *OUMD_p* for $1 < p < \infty$, namely the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

In this paper we study basic stability properties of *OUMD_p* operator spaces and consider some related questions formulated in [48]. Our paper is organized as follows. The construction of the noncommutative vector-valued Lebesgue spaces, as well as some of their stability properties (e.g. duality) are briefly discussed in the Preliminaries. Section 3 is devoted to the study of basic stability properties of *OUMD_p* operator spaces. Namely, we show that, as in the classical setting, the *OUMD_p* property is inherited by subspaces and quotients, and it is preserved under complex interpolation and by ultraproducts. If an operator space E is *OUMD_p*, then its (standard) dual E^* is *OUMD_{p'}*, where p' is the conjugate exponent of p . Also, each matrix level $M_m(E)$ is *OUMD_p*. We end Section 3 with an example (based on a construction of Pisier from [48]) of a Hilbert space, subspace of some commutative C^* -algebra, which is *UMD* as a Banach space, but not *OUMD_p*, for any $1 < p < \infty$. Section 4 contains our main results. It is unknown whether

the property is independent of p in this setting. We provide the first non-trivial example of an operator space that is $OUMD_p$, independent of p , namely, we prove the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p, q < \infty$. Then the Schatten q -class S_q is $OUMD_p$.*

The proof relies on properties of the Haagerup tensor product and complex interpolation, and it was inspired by a question of Z.-J. Ruan as to whether the column Hilbert space C is $OUMD_p$ for some (all) $1 < p < \infty$. As an application of ultraproduct results due to Junge [25], it follows that a large class of noncommutative L_q -spaces are $OUMD_p$, independent of p . Namely, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a *QWEP* von Neumann algebra (i.e., a quotient of a C^* -algebra with the weak expectation property of Lance [35], see Kirchberg [33]), equipped with an n.s.f. tracial state τ , then $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is $OUMD_p$ for $1 < p, q < \infty$. Furthermore, we show that the class of operator spaces which are $OUMD_p$, independent of p , contains all finite dimensional operator spaces, the vector-valued Schatten classes $S_u[S_v]$ for $1 < u, v < \infty$, as well as the noncommutative Lorentz spaces associated to a hyperfinite (and finite) von Neumann algebra. We end Section 4 with some intermediate results towards answering Z.-J. Ruan's question, which remains open.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We refer to Effros and Ruan [20] and Pisier [49] for details on operator spaces and completely bounded maps. We shall briefly recall some definitions. A (*concrete*) *operator space* on a Hilbert space H is a norm closed linear subspace E of $\mathcal{B}(H)$. For any positive integer m , the natural inclusion $M_m(E) \subseteq M_m(\mathcal{B}(H)) = \mathcal{B}(H^m)$ induces a norm $\|\cdot\|_m$ on $M_m(E)$. Ruan [54] gave an abstract characterization of operator spaces in terms of their matrix norms. Namely, an (*abstract*) *operator space* is a vector space E equipped with matrix norms $\|\cdot\|_m$ on $M_m(E)$, for each positive integer m , satisfying axioms (1.1). The morphisms in the category of operator spaces are *completely bounded maps*. Given a linear map between two operator spaces $\phi : E_0 \rightarrow E_1$, define $\phi_m : M_m(E_0) \rightarrow M_m(E_1)$ by $\phi_m([v_{ij}]) = [\phi(v_{ij})]$, for all $[v_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^m \in M_m(E_0)$. Let $\|\phi\|_{cb} = \sup\{\|\phi_m\| : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The map ϕ is called *completely bounded* if $\|\phi\|_{cb} < \infty$, and ϕ is called *completely isometric* if all ϕ_m are isometries. The space of all completely bounded maps from E_0 to E_1 is denoted by $\mathcal{CB}(E_0, E_1)$. Then $\mathcal{CB}(E_0, E_1)$ is an operator space with matrix norms defined by

$$M_m(\mathcal{CB}(E_0, E_1)) = \mathcal{CB}(E_0, M_m(E_1)),$$

for all positive integers m . The dual of an operator space E is, again, an operator space $E^* = \mathcal{CB}(E, \mathbb{C})$. If F is a closed subspace of E , then both F and E/F are operator spaces; F is equipped with the induced operator space structure from E , while on E/F the matrix norms are defined by $M_m(E/F) = M_m(E)/M_m(F)$ for all positive integers m . Let (E_0, E_1) be a compatible couple of operator spaces. Recall the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 \oplus_p E_1 &= \{x = (x_0, x_1) \in E_0 \oplus E_1 : \|x\|_p = (\|x_0\|_{E_0}^p + \|x_1\|_{E_1}^p)^{1/p}\}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ E_0 \oplus_\infty E_1 &= \{x = (x_0, x_1) \in E_0 \oplus E_1 : \|x\|_\infty = \max\{\|x_0\|_{E_0}, \|x_1\|_{E_1}\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

As noted in [46], $E_0 \oplus_\infty E_1$ is an operator space with matrix norms defined by

$$M_m(E_0 \oplus_\infty E_1) = M_m(E_0) \oplus_\infty M_m(E_1),$$

for all positive integers m , while the isometric embedding

$$M_m(E_0 \oplus_1 E_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{CB}(E_0^* \oplus_\infty E_1^*, M_m) = M_m(E_0^* \oplus_\infty E_1^*) = M_m((E_0 \oplus_1 E_1)^{**})$$

induce an operator space structure on $E_0 \oplus_1 E_1$. For $1 < p < \infty$, equip $E_0 \oplus_p E_1$ with the operator space structure given by the isometric identification $E_0 \oplus_p E_1 = l_p(\{E_0, E_1\})$, where $l_p(\{E_0, E_1\})$ is the l_p -direct sum of E_0 and E_1 . Furthermore, note that $E_0 \cap E_1$ can be identified with the diagonal $\Delta = \{(x, x) \in E_0 \oplus E_1\}$ of $E_0 \oplus_\infty E_1$, while $E_0 + E_1 = (E_0 \oplus_\infty E_1)/N$, where $N = \{(x_0, x_1) \in E_0 \oplus E_1 : x_0 + x_1 = 0\}$. These identifications are then used to equip $E_0 \cap E_1$ and, respectively, $E_0 + E_1$ with appropriate operator space matrix norms. Following Pisier [46], for $0 < \theta < 1$, we endow the interpolation space $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$ with a canonical operator space structure by defining for all positive integers m ,

$$(2.2) \quad M_m([E_0, E_1]_\theta) = [M_m(E_0), M_m(E_1)]_\theta.$$

Recall that the complex method of interpolation is an exact functor of exponent θ . Thus, if (E_0, E_1) and (F_0, F_1) are two compatible couples of operator spaces, and if a map $u : E_0 + E_1 \rightarrow F_0 + F_1$ is completely bounded both from E_0 to E_1 and from F_0 to F_1 , then u is completely bounded from $[E_0, E_1]_\theta$ to $[F_0, F_1]_\theta$, and, moreover, the following norm estimate holds:

$$(2.3) \quad \|u : [E_0, E_1]_\theta \rightarrow [F_0, F_1]_\theta\|_{cb} \leq \|u : E_0 \rightarrow E_1\|_{cb}^{1-\theta} \|u : F_0 \rightarrow F_1\|_{cb}^\theta.$$

Given operator spaces $E \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ and $F \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$, the embedding $E \otimes F \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H \otimes_2 K)$ induces an operator space matrix norm $\|\cdot\|_\vee$ on $E \otimes F$. It is proved in [4] that this matrix norm is independent on the choice of the Hilbert spaces H and K . The completion of $E \otimes F$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\vee$ is called the *injective tensor product* of E and F . The *projective tensor product* of E and F is defined such that the complete isometry

$$(E \hat{\otimes} F)^* \cong \mathcal{CB}(E, F^*)$$

holds. Furthermore, the *Haagerup tensor product* of E and F is defined as the completion of $E \otimes F$ with respect to the matrix norms

$$\|u\|_{h,m} = \inf\{\|v\|\|w\| : u = v \odot w, v \in M_{m,r}(E), w \in M_{r,m}(F), r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where the element $v \odot w \in M_m(E \otimes F)$ is defined by $(v \odot w)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^m v_{ik} \otimes w_{kj}$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

The Haagerup tensor product is both injective and projective, associative, self-dual in the finite dimensional case (see [17]) and, in general, not commutative. Moreover, it behaves very nicely with respect to interpolation (see [34], [46]), namely,

Theorem 2.1. (Kouba) *Let (E_0, E_1) and (F_0, F_1) be two compatible couples of operator spaces. Then $(E_0 \otimes^h F_0, E_1 \otimes^h F_1)$ is a compatible couple of operator spaces, and for all $0 < \theta < 1$ we have a complete isometry*

$$[E_0 \otimes^h F_0, E_1 \otimes^h F_1]_\theta = [E_0, E_1]_\theta \otimes^h [F_0, F_1]_\theta.$$

We refer to the Appendix in [39] for a detailed proof, based on ideas of Pisier from [44].

The Schatten p -classes S_p ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) are non-commutative analogues of the Banach spaces l_p . We briefly recall the definition and discuss their operator space structure. If m is a positive integer, denote by S_∞^m the space M_m , equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ determined by its identification with $\mathcal{B}(l_2^m)$. Also, we denote by S_1^m the space $\{\alpha \in M_m : \|\alpha\|_1 = \text{tr}((\alpha^* \alpha)^{1/2}) < \infty\}$. If $1 < p < \infty$, let $S_p^m = \{\alpha \in M_m : |\alpha|^p \in S_1^m\}$. It follows that, isometrically, $S_p^m = [S_\infty^m, S_1^m]_{\frac{1}{p}}$. Note that the duality $M_m^* = S_1^m$ is given by the following *parallel* duality bracket

$$(2.4) \quad \langle [\beta_{ij}], [\alpha_{ij}] \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \beta_{ij} \alpha_{ij} = \text{tr}(\beta \alpha^t),$$

where α^t denotes the usual transposed of the matrix α . Thus $S_1^m = M_m^*$ has the operator space structure of the standard dual of M_m . Following Pisier [48], we equip S_p^m with the operator space structure (2.2) obtained by interpolation. Respectively, in the infinite dimensional case, we denote by S_∞ the space $\mathcal{K}(l_2)$ of compact operators on l_2 , equipped with the operator norm. Then S_∞ carries a natural operator space structure. Let $S_1 = S_\infty^*$, equipped with the dual operator space structure. If $1 < p < \infty$, we have isometrically,

$$(2.5) \quad S_p = [S_\infty, S_1]_{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and we equip S_p with the operator space structure (2.2) obtained by interpolation.

In the following, let E be an operator space. Pisier [48] constructed by interpolation the non-commutative vector-valued Schatten p -classes $S_p[E]$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For all $m \geq 1$, define

$$\begin{aligned} S_\infty^m[E] &= S_\infty^m \check{\otimes} E, & S_\infty[E] &= S_\infty \check{\otimes} E, \\ S_1^m[E] &= S_1^m \hat{\otimes} E, & S_1[E] &= S_1 \hat{\otimes} E, \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that $(S_\infty[E], S_1[E])$ (respectively, $(S_\infty^m[E], S_1^m[E])$) is a compatible couple for interpolation, and, for $1 < p < \infty$ and all positive integers m we define

$$(2.6) \quad S_p^m[E] = [S_\infty^m[E], S_1^m[E]]_{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad S_p[E] = [S_\infty[E], S_1[E]]_{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We equip $S_p^m[E]$ (respectively, $S_p[E]$) with the operator space structure (2.2). The noncommutative vector-valued Schatten p -classes can be expressed in terms of the Haagerup tensor product. Indeed, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and all positive integers m , let

$$(2.7) \quad C_p = [C, R]_{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad C_p^m = [C^m, R^m]_{\frac{1}{p}},$$

$$(2.8) \quad R_p = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad R_p^m = [R^m, C^m]_{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where C and R denote, respectively, the column Hilbert space and the row Hilbert space. We should point out that we are using a different notation from the one in [48]. Namely, the space C_p is denoted therein by $C\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$ or $C[p]$ (respectively, C_p^m is denoted by $C_m\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$ or $C_m[p]$). Similarly, the space R_p is denoted in [48] by $R\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$ or $R[p]$ (respectively, R_p^m is denoted by $R_m\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)$ or $R_m[p]$). Using Kouba's interpolation result, Pisier (see [48]) proved that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the following relations hold, completely isometrically,

$$(2.9) \quad S_p[E] = C_p \otimes^h E \otimes^h R_p, \quad S_p^m[E] = C_p^m \otimes^h E \otimes^h R_p^m.$$

Let $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. Under the parallel duality bracket (2.4) we have the following complete isometries

$$S_p[E]^* = S_{p'}[E^*], \quad S_p^m[E]^* = S_{p'}^m[E^*].$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ . For $1 \leq p < \infty$, the noncommutative $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ space is defined as the closure of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_p = \tau((x^*x)^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The trace τ induces a canonical contractive embedding $j : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ (where $\mathcal{M}_*$ denotes the unique predual of $\mathcal{M}$), given by

$$(2.10) \quad \langle j(x), y \rangle = \tau(xy).$$

With this embedding, $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*)$ is a compatible couple for interpolation, and for $1 \leq p < \infty$ we have the isometry

$$L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = [\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_*]_{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We now turn to the description of the appropriate operator space matrix norms on the noncommutative L_p -spaces. The space $L_\infty(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}$ carries a natural operator space structure, since $\mathcal{M}$ is a C^* -algebra. In order to describe the operator space structure on $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by keeping the trace duality pairing (2.10), we have to consider the opposite von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$, as explained in [27]. Recall that $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{M}$ as a vector space, but it is endowed instead with the reversed multiplication $x \circ y = yx$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$. The algebra $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$ carries a natural operator space structure, with matrix norms defined for all positive integers m by

$$(2.11) \quad \|[x_{ij}]\|_{M_m(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})} = \|[x_{ji}]\|_{M_m(\mathcal{M})}.$$

Following Junge and Ruan [27], we define the operator space structure on $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by

$$(2.12) \quad L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cong (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})_*.$$

The identification (2.12) is given by the complete isometry

$$x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \mapsto \tau_x \in (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})_*,$$

where $\tau_x(y) = \tau(xy)$, for all $y \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$. For $1 < p < \infty$ and all positive integers m define

$$(2.13) \quad M_m(L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)) = [M_m(\mathcal{M}), M_m(L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))]_{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

These matrix norms verify the Ruan axioms (1.1), hence they determine the natural operator space structure on $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Furthermore, the following Fubini-type theorem holds isometrically,

$$(2.14) \quad S_p^m[L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)] = L_p(M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}, \text{tr}_m \otimes \tau),$$

for any positive integer m and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x_{ij}]\|_{S_1^m[L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]} &= \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_m(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^m \langle x_{ij}, y_{ij} \rangle \right| = \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{M_m(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})} \leq 1} \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^m \tau(x_{ij} y_{ij}) \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|[z_{ji}]\|_{M_m(\mathcal{M})} \leq 1} |(\text{tr}_m \otimes \tau)([x_{ij}] \cdot [z_{ji}])| = \|[x_{ij}]\|_{L_1(M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}, \text{tr}_m \otimes \tau)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.14) for $p = 1$. For $p = \infty$ the statement is clearly true. By Corollary 1.4 in [48], interpolation yields (2.14) for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

In the following assume, moreover, that $\mathcal{M}$ is *hyperfinite* i.e., $\mathcal{M}$ is the w^* -closure of an increasing net $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of *finite dimensional* von Neumann subalgebras. A celebrated theorem of Connes [13] establishes the connection between hyperfiniteness and injectivity. Namely, Connes proved that a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is hyperfinite if and only if it is injective. Recall that a C^* -algebra A is called *injective* if and only if given C^* -algebras B and B_1 such that $B \subseteq B_1$ and a completely positive map $\phi : B \rightarrow A$, then there exists a completely positive map $\phi_1 : B_1 \rightarrow A$ such that $\phi_1 \upharpoonright B = \phi$. A von Neumann algebra is called *injective* if it is injective as a C^* -algebra. The following characterization of injective von Neumann algebras (see Torpe [57]) is very useful in applications. A von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H)$ is injective if and only if there exists a norm 1 projection $E : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, which is onto. The class of injective von Neumann algebras includes $\mathcal{B}(H)$, and in particular, matrix algebras, the classical L_∞ -spaces, group von Neumann algebras associated to amenable groups, and it is closed under von Neumann algebra tensor products. Also, if $\mathcal{M}$ is an injective von Neumann algebra and e is a projection in $\mathcal{M}$, then $e\mathcal{M}e$ is an injective von Neumann algebra.

Let E be an operator space. Following Pisier [48], define

$$(2.15) \quad L_1(\mathcal{M}; E) = L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \hat{\otimes} E,$$

where the operator space structure of $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is given by (2.12). Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite and hyperfinite von Neumann algebra, it follows by results of Effros and Ruan (see [18] and [19]) that the canonical inclusion

$$v : \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})_* \cong L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$$

is integral. Then, as explained in [48], the map $v \otimes \text{Id}_E$ extends to a complete contraction

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{v} : \mathcal{M} \tilde{\otimes} E \hookrightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \hat{\otimes} E.$$

Therefore $(\mathcal{M} \tilde{\otimes} E, L_1(\mathcal{M}; E))$ is a compatible couple for interpolation. For $1 < p < \infty$, define

$$(2.17) \quad L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) = [\mathcal{M} \tilde{\otimes} E, L_1(\mathcal{M}; E)]_{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and equip $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ with the operator space matrix norms (2.2) obtained by interpolation. The following Fubini theorem (see (3.6)' of [48]) is a consequence of (2.14). Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then, for all von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}$ with an n.f. tracial state ϕ , we have the complete isometry

$$(2.18) \quad L_p(\mathcal{M}; L_p(\mathcal{N}, \phi)) = L_p(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}, \tau \otimes \phi).$$

We now discuss duality in the vector-valued setting. We show that if $\mathcal{M}$ is finite dimensional, then the duality results hold under the trace duality pairing (2.10), therefore the theory is consistent with the scalar-valued case.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and p' be the conjugate exponent of p , i.e., $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a finite dimensional von Neumann algebra equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ , then the following complete isometry holds under the trace duality bracket (2.10)*

$$(2.19) \quad (L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E))^* = L_p(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}; E^*)$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is finite dimensional, it follows that $L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E) = S_{p'}^{m_1} \oplus_{p'} \dots \oplus_{p'} S_{p'}^{m_k}$ for some positive integers $m_1, \dots, m_k$. For simplicity of the argument, we will assume that

$$L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E) = S_{p'}^m[E],$$

where m is a positive integer. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[x_{ij}]\|_{(L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E))^*} &= \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E)} \leq 1} \{|\langle [x_{ij}], [y_{ij}] \rangle|\} = \sup_{\|[y_{ij}]\|_{L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E)} \leq 1} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_{ij}^*(y_{ji}) \right| \right\} \\ &= \|[x_{ji}]\|_{S_p^m[E^*]} = \|[x_{ij}]\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}; E^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the following considerations. By (2.11), the map $\psi_m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$, defined by $\psi_m([v_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^m) = [v_{ji}]_{i,j=1}^m$ is a complete isometry. Moreover, it extends to a complete isometry $\psi_m : L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \tau)$. By properties of the injective and projective tensor product, it follows that $\psi_m \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*}$ extends, respectively, to complete isometries

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} \check{\otimes} E^* &\xrightarrow{\psi_m \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*}} \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \check{\otimes} E^*, \\ L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \hat{\otimes} E^* &\xrightarrow{\psi_m \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*}} L_1(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}, \tau) \hat{\otimes} E^*. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.17), interpolation with exponent $\theta = \frac{1}{p}$ shows that the map $\psi_m \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*} : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E^*) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}; E^*)$ is a complete isometry, which completes the argument. $\square$

3. OPERATOR SPACE OMD_p : DEFINITIONS AND PROPERTIES

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a von Neumann algebra equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ . Let $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an increasing filtration of von Neumann subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{M} = (\bigcup_n \mathcal{M}_n)^{-w^*}$. Given a positive integer n , there is a unique normal conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ such that

$(\tau \upharpoonright \mathcal{M}_n) \circ \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_n$ (see Takesaki [55]). For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, this extends to a norm 1 projection $\mathcal{E}_n : L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau)$ satisfying the modular property

$$\mathcal{E}_n(axb) = a\mathcal{E}_n(x)b,$$

for all $a \in L_s(\mathcal{M}_n)$, $b \in L_r(\mathcal{M}_n)$ and $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$, where $1/p = 1/r + 1/s$.

A *non-commutative $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ -martingale* relative to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $x_n \in L_p(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{E}_n(x_{n+1}) = x_n$, for all positive integers n . We say that x is a bounded $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ -martingale if $\|x\|_p = \sup_n \|x_n\|_p < \infty$. The *difference sequence* of x is $dx = (dx_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $dx_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$, with $x_0 = 0$. For $1 < p < \infty$, as a consequence of the uniform convexity of the space $L_p(\mathcal{M})$, we can and will identify the space of all bounded $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ -martingales with $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ itself (see [47], Remark 1.3).

Proposition 3.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. There exists $c_p > 0$, depending only on p , such that*

$$\|T_\varepsilon : L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\|_{cb} \leq c_p,$$

where ε denotes a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$ and T_ε is the ± 1 martingale transform generated by ε , i.e., $T_\varepsilon \left(\sum_{n=1}^k dx_n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n dx_n$, for all positive integers k and all $L_p(\mathcal{M})$ -martingale difference sequences $dx = (dx_n)_{n=1}^k$ relative to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

Proof. As a consequence of the Pisier-Xu noncommutative version of the Burkholder-Gundy square function inequalities, there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that

$$(3.20) \quad \|T_\varepsilon : L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\| \leq c_p.$$

By Lemma 1.7 of [48], we have

$$(3.21) \quad \|T_\varepsilon\|_{cb} = \sup_m \|\text{Id}_{S_p^m} \otimes T_\varepsilon : S_p^m[L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)] \rightarrow S_p^m[L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]\|.$$

Let $m \geq 2$. We will prove that

$$(3.22) \quad \|\text{Id}_{M_m} \otimes T_\varepsilon : S_p^m[L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)] \rightarrow S_p^m[L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]\| \leq c_p.$$

By Fubini's theorem (2.14) we have the isometry $S_p^m[L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)] = L_p(M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}, \text{tr}_m \otimes \tau)$, where tr_m is the standard normalized trace on M_m . Note that $(M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a filtration of the algebra $M_m(\mathcal{M}) = M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}$. For all positive integers n , denote $\text{Id}_{M_m} \otimes \mathcal{E}_n$ by $\mathbb{E}_n$. Then $\mathbb{E}_n : M_m(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow M_m(\mathcal{M}_n)$ is the unique trace preserving conditional expectation onto $M_m(\mathcal{M}_n)$. Moreover, for all $x = [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^m \in M_m(L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ and all positive integers n we have

$$(3.23) \quad [\mathcal{E}_n(x_{ij})]_{i,j=1}^m = \mathbb{E}_n(x).$$

By applying (3.20), together with (3.23) to the algebra $M_m(\mathcal{M})$ and its filtration $(M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we obtain for all positive integers k and all sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^k$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^k (\text{Id}_{M_m} \otimes \varepsilon_n (\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_{n-1}))(x) \right\|_p \leq c_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k (\text{Id}_{M_m} \otimes (\mathcal{E}_n - \mathcal{E}_{n-1}))(x) \right\|_p.$$

This shows that (3.22) holds and the proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 3.2. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$. Then the ± 1 martingale transform T_ε generated by ε is a self adjoint operator on $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, under the trace duality bracket (2.10). The proof of this fact is similar to the one in the classical setting, due to Burkholder [8]. The key point is that $(\bigcup_n L_p(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n))^{-\|\cdot\|_p} = L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By (3.20), T_ε is a bounded linear operator on $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Therefore, it suffices to prove that the restriction of T_ε to $L_p(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n)$ is self adjoint, for all for all positive integers n . Indeed, if $x \in L_p(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n)$, then $x = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k(x)$, where $d_k = \mathcal{E}_k - \mathcal{E}_{k-1}$, with $\mathcal{E}_0 = 0$. Note that, for all positive integers j , $\mathcal{E}_j$ is a self-adjoint operator on $L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, since $\mathcal{E}_j$ is the dual map of the canonical isometric embedding of $L_1(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau)$ into $L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Therefore each d_k is a self-adjoint operator with respect to the trace duality pairing (2.10). Since $T_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k d_k(x)$, the conclusion follows.

For the remainder of this section we will assume, moreover, that $\mathcal{M}$ is *hyperfinite*. Note that, consequently, each von Neumann subalgebra $\mathcal{M}_n$ is hyperfinite, as well. Indeed, since $\mathcal{M}$ is injective, there exists a norm 1 projection $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, which is onto. Composing $\mathcal{E}$ with the conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ we obtain a norm 1 projection $\mathcal{E}_n \circ \mathcal{E} : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$, which is onto. This ensures that $\mathcal{M}_n$ is injective, or equivalently, by Connes' theorem, $\mathcal{M}_n$ is hyperfinite.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then, for all positive integers n , the conditional expectation $\mathcal{E}_n : L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n)$ extends to a complete contraction*

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}_n; E).$$

Proof. We first show that we have the complete contraction

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \text{Id}_E : \mathcal{M} \check{\otimes} E \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n \check{\otimes} E$$

By the injectivity property of the injective tensor product, it suffices to prove that the map $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ is a complete contraction. Since $\|\mathcal{E}_n\| = 1$, this is equivalent to showing that $\mathcal{E}_n$ is completely positive (see Paulsen [42]). This is, indeed, the case, since for all $m \geq 1$, the map $\text{Id}_{M_m} \otimes \mathcal{E}_n : M_m \otimes \mathcal{M} \rightarrow M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$ is the unique trace-preserving conditional expectation onto $M_m \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, hence it is positive. We now show that we have the complete contraction

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{E}_n \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \hat{\otimes} E \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n) \hat{\otimes} E$$

Since the projective tensor product is projective, it suffices to prove that the map $\mathcal{E}_n : L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n)$ is a complete contraction. Let us denote for the moment this map by u_n , in order to avoid confusion. Note that, under the trace duality bracket (2.10), the dual map u_n^* is exactly $\mathcal{E}_n : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$. Indeed, for $x \in L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $y \in L_1(\mathcal{M}_n, \tau_n)$ we have

$$(3.27) \quad \langle u_n(x), y \rangle = \langle x, \mathcal{E}_n(y) \rangle.$$

It follows that $\|u_n\|_{cb} = \|u_n^*\|_{cb} = \|\mathcal{E}_n\|_{cb} \leq 1$, which proves the assertion. By (3.25) and (3.26), interpolation with exponent $\theta = 1/p$ yields the conclusion for $1 < p < \infty$. $\square$

Remark 3.4. In view of Proposition 3.3 we can consider vector-valued noncommutative martingales in this setting. Note that, as in the scalar-valued case, any $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ -martingale with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ can be approximated by finite $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ -martingales (with respect to the same filtration). Therefore, it suffices to consider finite martingales only.

Definition 3.5. Let E be an operator space and $1 < p < \infty$. We say that E is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ if there exists a constant $c_p > 0$ such that

$$(3.28) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k \varepsilon_n dx_n \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)} \leq c_p \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k dx_n \right\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)}$$

for all positive integers k , all sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n=1}^k$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$ and all martingale difference sequences $dx = (dx_n)_{n=1}^k \subset L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$, relative to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$.

If this holds for all hyperfinite von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$, equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ , and all filtrations of $\mathcal{M}$, we say that E is $OUMD_p$.

Remark 3.6. By Definition 3.5, E is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ if and only if there exists a constant $c_p > 0$, depending on p and E , such that

$$(3.29) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)\| \leq c_p,$$

for all finite sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$. Martingale differences are considered with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Note that a priori the constant c_p might also depend on the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and on the filtration.

Lemma 3.7. If E is $OUMD_p$, there exists $c_p > 0$, depending only on p and E , such that

$$(3.30) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)\| \leq c_p,$$

for all hyperfinite von Neumann algebras $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ , all filtrations of $\mathcal{M}$ and all finite sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{M}_k, \tau_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras, equipped with n.f. tracial states τ_k , such that the sequence of corresponding constants $c_p^{(k)}$ converges to ∞ . We will show that this leads to a contradiction. Let $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_k$ be the direct sum of the algebras $\mathcal{M}_k$. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra and that we can define an n.f. tracial state τ on $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$\tau((x_k)_{k \geq 1}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^k} \tau_k(x_k).$$

For each positive integer k , there is an increasing net of finite dimensional algebras $(\mathcal{M}_k^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ whose union generates $\mathcal{M}_k$ in the w^* -topology. For a finite sequence $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of numbers

in $\{-1, 1\}$, let $T_\varepsilon^{(k)}$ denote the vector-valued ± 1 martingale transform generated by ε , where martingale differences are considered with respect to the algebra $\mathcal{M}_k$ and its filtration $(\mathcal{M}_k^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$. For all positive integers n , let

$$\mathcal{M}^{(n)} = \oplus_k \mathcal{M}_k^{(n)}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$ is a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$. Let T_ε denote the ± 1 martingale transform generated by ε , where martingales are considered with respect to the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ and its filtration $(\mathcal{M}^{(n)})_{n \geq 1}$. By our assumption it follows that for all positive integers k , there exists $y_k \in L_p(\mathcal{M}_k; E)$ such that $\|y_k\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}_k; E)} = 1$ and

$$\|(T_\varepsilon^{(k)} \otimes \text{Id}_E)(y_k)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}_k; E)} \geq c_p^{(k)}.$$

Let $Y_k = (0, \dots, 0, \underbrace{y_k}_{k^{\text{th}}}, 0, \dots)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E)(Y_k)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)} &= \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \tau_k(|(T_\varepsilon^{(k)} \otimes \text{Id}_E)(y_k)|^p) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{k/p}} \|(T_\varepsilon^{(k)} \otimes \text{Id}_E)(y_k)\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}_k; E)} \geq \frac{1}{2^{k/p}} c_p^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\|Y_k\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)} = \left(\frac{1}{2^k} \tau_k(|y_k|^p) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1}{2^{k/p}} \|y_k\|_{L_p(\mathcal{M}_k; E)} = \frac{1}{2^{k/p}}.$$

This shows that $\|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)\| \geq c_p^{(k)}$, for all positive integers k . This implies that $\|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)\| = \infty$, which contradicts the assumption that E is $OUMD_p$. $\square$

We denote by $c_p(E)$ the smallest constant $c_p > 0$ satisfying (3.30).

Remark 3.8. A similar argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1 shows that an operator space E is $OUMD_p$, for some $1 < p < \infty$ if and only if

$$(3.31) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)\|_{cb} \leq c_p(E),$$

for all hyperfinite von Neumann algebras $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with an n.f. tracial state τ , all filtrations of $\mathcal{M}$ and all finite sequences $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$.

Remark 3.9. If we assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is a commutative von Neumann algebra in the Definition 3.5, then we recover the classical notion of a UMD Banach space. In particular, if an operator space E is $OUMD_p$, for some $1 < p < \infty$, then E is UMD (as a Banach space) and, moreover,

$$\beta_p(\mathbb{C}) \leq \beta_p(E) \leq c_p(E).$$

It was proved by Burkholder [11] that $\beta_p(\mathbb{C}) = p^* - 1$, where $p^* = \max\{p, p/(p-1)\}$.

Remark 3.10. By Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.8, the operator space $E = \mathbb{C}$ is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, as proved by Randrianantoanina [51], the corresponding constant $c_p(\mathbb{C})$ has the same optimal order of growth as $\beta_p(\mathbb{C})$. This estimate follows directly by interpolation from a striking result proved in [51], namely the fact that the noncommutative ± 1 martingale transforms are of weak type $(1, 1)$.

Remark 3.11. $OUMD_p$ is a local property, i.e., if there exists an increasing sequence of closed subspaces $(E_k)_{k \geq 1}$ of E , whose union generates E , such that each E_k is $OUMD_p$ and the corresponding constants satisfy $\sup_k c_p(E_k) < \infty$, then E is $OUMD_p$.

The following proposition summarizes some of the properties of $OUMD_p$ operator spaces. We refer to Pisier [49, 48] for background on the ultraproduct theory for operator spaces.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and E be an operator space.*

- (1) *If E is $OUMD_p$ and F is an operator space completely isomorphic to E , then F is $OUMD_p$.*
- (2) *If E is $OUMD_p$ and F is a closed subspace of E , then both F and E/F are $OUMD_p$.*
- (3) *If E is $OUMD_p$, then $L_p(\mathcal{N}; E)$ is $OUMD_p$, for all hyperfinite von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{N}$, equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ .*
- (4) *If E is $OUMD_p$, then $M_m(E)$ is $OUMD_p$, for all positive integers m .*
- (5) *If E is $OUMD_p$, then its dual E^* is $OUMD_{p'}$, where $1/p + 1/p' = 1$.*
- (6) *Let I be an index set and $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of $OUMD_p$ operator spaces. Assume that the corresponding $OUMD_p$ constants satisfy $\sup_i c_p(E_i) < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an ultrafilter on I . Then the ultraproduct $\hat{E} = (E_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is $OUMD_p$.*
- (7) *If E and F are $OUMD_p$, then $E \oplus_q F$ is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < q < \infty$.*
- (8) *Let $1 < q, s < \infty$ and $0 < \theta < 1$ be such that $(1 - \theta)/q + \theta/s = 1/p$. If (E_0, E_1) is a compatible couple of operator spaces such that E_0 is $OUMD_q$ and E_1 is $OUMD_s$, then $E_\theta = [E_0, E_1]_\theta$ is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. Let $c_p(E)$ denote the $OUMD_p$ constant of E . Throughout the proof, let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra with an n.f. tracial state τ , and $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$. Martingale differences will be considered with respect to this filtration. Let $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a finite sequence of numbers in $\{-1, 1\}$ and denote by T_ε the corresponding ± 1 martingale transform. For each statement, we will prove the boundedness of the appropriate *vector-valued* martingale transform.

(1) Let u be a complete isomorphism $u : E \rightarrow F$. Then, as observed in (3.1) of [48], the map $\text{Id}_{L_p(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} \otimes u$ extends to a complete isomorphism $\tilde{u} : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; F)$. Hence, there exists a constant $c'_p(F)$, such that $\|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_F : L_p(\mathcal{M}; F) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; F)\|_{cb} \leq c'_p(F)$. By Remark 3.8, this implies that F is $OUMD_p$.

(2) By (3.4) of [48], the space $L_p(\mathcal{M}; F)$ can be identified with a closed subspace of $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$,

and we have a complete isometry $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E/F) \cong L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)/L_p(\mathcal{M}; F)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_F : L_p(\mathcal{M}; F) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; F)\|_{cb} &\leq c_p(E), \\ \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E/F} : L_p(\mathcal{M}; E/F) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M}; E/F)\|_{cb} &\leq c_p(E). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore F and E/F are both $OUMD_p$. Moreover, the corresponding $OUMD_p$ constants satisfy

$$(3.32) \quad c_p(F) \leq c_p(E), \quad c_p(E/F) \leq c_p(E).$$

(3) An application of Fubini's theorem (2.18) yields the complete isometry

$$(3.33) \quad L_p(\mathcal{M}; L_p(\mathcal{N}; E)) \cong L_p(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}; E).$$

The assertion follows from the fact that E is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the hyperfinite algebra $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ and its filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{N})_{n \geq 1}$. Moreover, the following estimate holds

$$(3.34) \quad c_p(L_p(\mathcal{N}; E)) \leq c_p(E).$$

(4) We prove that $M_m(E) = M_m \check{\otimes} E$ is completely isomorphic to $S_p^m[E] = L_p(M_m; E)$. Equivalently, we show that $d_{cb}(M_m(E), L_p(M_m; E)) < \infty$, where the *c.b.-Banach-Mazur distance* between two operator spaces E_0 and E_1 is defined by

$$d_{cb}(E_0, E_1) = \inf \{ \|\phi\|_{cb} \|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} : \phi : E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \text{ is an isomorphism} \}.$$

Recall, by (2.16) the complete contraction $\tilde{v} : M_m(E) \hookrightarrow L_1(M_m) \hat{\otimes} E$, induced by the canonical inclusion $v : \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By interpolation it follows that

$$(3.35) \quad \|\tilde{v} : M_m(E) \rightarrow L_p(M_m; E)\|_{cb} \leq 1.$$

It remains to show that $\tilde{v}^{-1} \in \mathcal{CB}(L_p(M_m; E), M_m(E))$. By results of Effros and Ruan [20], the map $\tilde{v}^{-1} : L_1(M_m) \hat{\otimes} E \rightarrow M_m \check{\otimes} E$ is completely bounded if and only if the map $v^{-1} : L_1(M_m) \rightarrow M_m$ is nuclear, and, moreover,

$$(3.36) \quad \|\tilde{v}^{-1} : L_1(M_m) \hat{\otimes} E \rightarrow M_m \check{\otimes} E\|_{cb} \leq \nu(v^{-1}),$$

where $\nu(v^{-1})$ denotes the nuclear norm of v^{-1} . Let $\{e_{i,j}, e_{i,j}^*\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq m} \in M_m \times M_m^*$ be an Auerbach basis for M_m . Then

$$\nu(v^{-1}) \leq \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^m e_{i,j}^*(e_{i,j}) \right\| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m \|e_{i,j}^*(e_{i,j})\| \leq m^2.$$

From (3.36) and the fact that $\tilde{v}^{-1}$ is a complete contraction on $M_m(E)$, we obtain by interpolation

$$(3.37) \quad \|\tilde{v}^{-1} : L_p(M_m; E) \rightarrow M_m(E)\|_{cb} \leq m^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

This implies that

$$d_{cb}(M_m(E), L_p(M_m; E)) \leq m^{\frac{2}{p}} < \infty.$$

The assertion follows as a consequence of Items (1) and (3).

(5) Since $\mathcal{M}$ is hyperfinite, there exists a filtration $(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq 1}$ of *finite dimensional* subalgebras whose union generates $\mathcal{M}$. For $\alpha \geq 1$, denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha$ the unique trace-preserving conditional expectation from $\mathcal{M}$ onto $\mathcal{N}_\alpha$. By Remark 3.2 in [48] (see also Theorem 3.4. therein) it follows that $(\bigcup_\alpha L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; E^*))^{-\|\cdot\|_p} = L_p(\mathcal{M}; E^*)$. This implies that

$$(3.38) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*}\|_{cb} \leq \sup_\alpha \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha : L_{p'}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; E^*) \rightarrow L_{p'}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; E^*)\|_{cb}.$$

The following complete isometry holds under trace duality (see Proposition 2.2):

$$(3.39) \quad L_{p'}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; E^*) = (L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{op}}; E))^*.$$

Furthermore, since $(\bigcup_\alpha L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{op}}; E))^{-\|\cdot\|_p} = L_p(\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}; E)$ and E is OUMD_p with respect to $\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}$, it follows that

$$\|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E\|_{cb} \leq \sup_\alpha \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha : L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{op}}; E) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{op}}; E)\|_{cb} \leq c_p(E).$$

By passing to the dual and using the fact that the conditional expectations $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha$ and T_ε are self-dual under trace duality (see Remark 3.2), we obtain

$$(3.40) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_E)^*\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha : (L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{op}}; E))^* \rightarrow (L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^{\text{op}}; E))^*\|_{cb} \leq c_p(E).$$

Applying (3.39) together with (3.38), it follows that

$$(3.41) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E^*} : L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E^*) \rightarrow L_{p'}(\mathcal{M}; E^*)\|_{cb} \leq c_p(E).$$

Hence E^* is $\text{OUMD}_{p'}$, and we have the following estimate for the corresponding constant

$$(3.42) \quad c_{p'}(E^*) \leq c_p(E).$$

(6) With same notation as in Item (5), we have the following estimate

$$(3.43) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{\hat{E}}\|_{cb} \leq \sup_\alpha \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{\hat{E}})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha : L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; \hat{E}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; \hat{E})\|_{cb}.$$

For all $\alpha \geq 1$, let $m_\alpha = \dim(\mathcal{N}_\alpha)$. It follows that for $i \in I$,

$$(3.44) \quad L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; E_i) = S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i], \quad L_p(\mathcal{N}_\alpha; \hat{E}) = S_p^{m_\alpha}[\hat{E}].$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 5.4 in [48], there is a complete isometry

$$\phi_\alpha : S_p^{m_\alpha}[\hat{E}] \rightarrow (S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i])_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

By assumption, for all $i \in I$ the operator space E_i is OUMD_p . Hence, by (3.44) the map $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E_i})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha : S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i] \rightarrow S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i]$ is completely bounded. Moreover, since $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha$ is a complete contraction, we obtain the estimate

$$(3.45) \quad \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E_i})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha\|_{cb} \leq \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E_i}\|_{cb} \leq c_p(E_i).$$

Define a map ψ_α by

$$\psi_\alpha = \left(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E_i})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha \right)_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

By Proposition 10.3.2 in [20] it follows that $\psi_\alpha \in \mathcal{CB}((S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i])_{\mathcal{U}}, (S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i])_{\mathcal{U}})$ and, moreover, $\|\psi_\alpha\|_{cb} \leq \sup_i c_p(E_i)$. Therefore, we obtain the following commuting diagram of completely bounded maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_p^{m_\alpha}[\hat{E}] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{\hat{E}})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha} & S_p^{m_\alpha}[\hat{E}] \\ \downarrow \phi_\alpha & & \uparrow \phi_\alpha^{-1} \\ (S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i])_{\mathcal{U}} & \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} & (S_p^{m_\alpha}[E_i])_{\mathcal{U}} \end{array}$$

We deduce that

$$\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha(T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{\hat{E}})\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_\alpha\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \psi_\alpha \circ \phi_\alpha\|_{cb} \leq \|\phi_\alpha^{-1}\|_{cb} \|\psi_\alpha\|_{cb} \|\phi_\alpha\|_{cb} \leq \sup_i c_p(E_i).$$

By (3.43), this yields the conclusion. Moreover, the $OUMD_p$ constant of the ultraproduct $\hat{E}$ satisfies $c_p(\hat{E}) \leq \sup_i c_p(E_i)$. By general results on ultraproducts, it follows that

$$c_p(\hat{E}) \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} c_p(E_i).$$

(7) We first show that $E \oplus_p F$ is $OUMD_p$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ and the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$. By Remark 3.11, it is enough to prove that there exists $c_p(E, F) > 0$ so that for all $m \geq 1$,

$$(3.46) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E \oplus_p F} : S_p^m[E \oplus_p F] \rightarrow S_p^m[E \oplus_p F]\|_{cb} \leq c_p(E, F).$$

Recall that by (2.9) in [48] we have the complete isometry

$$S_p^m[E \oplus_p F] = S_p^m[E] \oplus_p S_p^m[F].$$

It follows that

$$\|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{E \oplus_p F} : S_p^m[E \oplus_p F] \rightarrow S_p^m[E \oplus_p F]\|_{cb} \leq [(c_p(E))^p + (c_p(F))^p]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $c_p(E)$ and $c_p(F)$ are the $OUMD_p$ constants of E , respectively F . Hence (3.46) is proved. Furthermore, note that if $1 < q < \infty$, then

$$d_{cb}(E \oplus_p F, E \oplus_q F) \leq 2.$$

The assertion follows now from Item (1). Moreover, from the proof we obtain the estimate

$$c_p(E \oplus_q F) \leq [(c_p(E))^p + (c_p(F))^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

(8) The statement follows immediately by interpolation from Remark 3.8, using the following completely isometric identity (see (3.5) in [48])

$$[L_q(\mathcal{M}; E_0), L_s(\mathcal{M}; E_1)]_\theta = L_p(\mathcal{M}; E_\theta).$$

Moreover, the corresponding constants satisfy the estimate

$$(3.47) \quad c_p(E_\theta) \leq [c_q(E_0)]^{1-\theta} [c_s(E_1)]^\theta.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Example 3.13. If $1 < p < \infty$, then the matrix algebras M_m are $OUMD_p$, for all positive integers m . This follows immediately from Remark 3.10 and (4) in Proposition 3.12.

The following lemma provides a necessary condition for an operator space E to be $OUMD_p$.

Lemma 3.14. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, and E an operator space. If E is $OUMD_p$, then $S_p[E]$ is UMD (as a Banach space).*

Proof. Since UMD is a local property, it suffices to show that for all positive integers m , the Banach space $S_p^m[E]$ is UMD . We will prove that there exists a constant $k_p > 0$, independent of m , such that

$$(3.48) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{S_p^m[E]} : L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{F}, \mu; S_p^m[E]) \rightarrow L_p([0, 1], \mathcal{F}, \mu; S_p^m[E])\| \leq k_p.$$

Here μ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$, $\mathcal{F}$ a σ -algebra of subsets of $[0, 1]$, and $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a filtration of $\mathcal{F}$. Martingale differences are considered with respect to this filtration. By Fubini's theorem, we have the complete isometry

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} L_p([0, 1]; S_p^m[E]) &= L_p([0, 1]; L_p(M_m; E)) \\ &= L_p(L_\infty([0, 1]); L_p(M_m; E)) \\ &= L_p(L_\infty([0, 1]) \otimes M_m; E) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(L_\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{F}_n, \mu) \otimes M_m)_{n \geq 1}$ is a filtration of the hyperfinite von Neumann algebra $L_\infty([0, 1]) \otimes M_m$. Moreover, for all positive integers n , the unique trace-preserving conditional expectation onto the subalgebra $L_\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{F}_n) \otimes M_m$ is $\mathcal{E}_n = \mathbb{E}_n \otimes \text{Id}_{M_m}$, where $\mathbb{E}_n = \mathbb{E}(\cdot | \mathcal{F}_n)$. Let $k_p = c_p(E)$, where $c_p(E)$ is the $OUMD_p$ constant of E . The fact that E is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(L_\infty([0, 1], \mathcal{F}_n, \mu) \otimes M_m)_{n \geq 1}$ of $L_\infty([0, 1]) \otimes M_m$, together with (2.19) yields (3.48), and the proof is complete. $\square$

Example 3.15. There exists a Hilbert space, subspace of some commutative C^* -algebra which is UMD (as a Banach space), but not $OUMD_p$, for any $1 < p < \infty$.

Let $E = \min(l_2)$. Then E is a Hilbert space, hence it is UMD (as a Banach space). Assume that E is $OUMD_p$, for some $1 < p < \infty$. We will show that this leads to a contradiction. Following Pisier's argument in [48], let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the hyperfinite II_1 factor equipped with the canonical "dyadic filtration" $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where $\mathcal{M}_n = M_2 \otimes \dots \otimes M_2$ (n times). By (3) in Proposition 3.12, it follows that $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ is $OUMD_p$; hence it is UMD (as a Banach space). However, by Proposition 4.3 in [48], $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ contains a subspace isomorphic to c_0 . This contradicts the fact that $L_p(\mathcal{M}; E)$ is UMD (as a Banach space), since c_0 is not UMD (being non-reflexive). Therefore E is not $OUMD_p$, for any $1 < p < \infty$.

4. MAIN RESULTS

As a consequence of Proposition 3.1, together with Fubini's theorem, we immediately obtain the following

Proposition 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $L_p(\mathcal{N}, \phi)$ is $OUMD_p$, for every von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{N}$ equipped with an n.s.f. tracial state ϕ .*

Remark 4.2. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and m be a positive integer. It follows that $S_p = L_p(\mathcal{B}(l_2), \text{tr})$ and, respectively, $S_p^m = L_p(M_m, \text{Tr}_m)$ are $OUMD_p$. Here tr denotes the usual trace on $\mathcal{B}(l_2)$, while Tr_m denotes the non-normalized trace on M_m . Moreover, the corresponding $OUMD_p$ constants satisfy $\sup_m c_p(S_p^m) \leq c_p(S_p) < \infty$.

Proposition 4.3. *The operator Hilbert space OH is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. Recall that by Corollary 2.6 in [46], OH can be obtained by complex interpolation between the column Hilbert space C and the row Hilbert space R , namely,

$$(4.50) \quad OH = [C, R]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the reiteration theorem for the complex method ([2], Theorem 4.6.1), it follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that for $1 < p < \infty$ we have

$$(4.51) \quad OH = [C_p, R_p]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that we can view C_p as the column space of S_p and, respectively, we can view R_p as the row space of S_p . Hence, by (2) in Proposition 3.12, and Remark 4.2 it follows that both C_p and R_p are $OUMD_p$. Therefore, by (4.51), a further application of (8) in Proposition 3.12 yields the conclusion. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Every finite dimensional operator space E is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. Let E be an n -dimensional operator space. By Corollary 9.3 of [46], there is an isomorphism $\phi : OH_n \rightarrow E$, such that $\|\phi\|_{cb} \|\phi^{-1}\|_{cb} \leq \sqrt{n}$. Thus the c.b.Banach-Mazur distance between E and OH_n satisfies

$$d_{cb}(E, OH_n) \leq \sqrt{n}.$$

Hence E is completely isomorphic to OH_n . The conclusion follows by Proposition 4.3 (applied in the finite dimensional case) and (1) in Proposition 3.12. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *If $1 < u, p < \infty$, then C_u is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. Since $OUMD_p$ is a local property (see Remark 3.11), it suffices to show that C_u^m is $OUMD_p$, for all positive integers m , and

$$\sup_m c_p(C_u^m) < \infty.$$

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra with an n.f. tracial state τ and $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$. By Remark 4.2, S_p^m is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. By (2) in Proposition 3.12, the same holds for C_p^m and R_p^m , as subspaces of S_p^m . We claim that there exist $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < q, s < \infty$ such that the following complete isometry holds

$$(4.52) \quad [L_q(\mathcal{M}; C_q^m), L_s(\mathcal{M}; R_s^m)]_\theta = L_p(\mathcal{M}; C_u^m).$$

By applying (3.5) in [48], this reduces to showing the existence of $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < q, s < \infty$ such that the following two relations hold

$$(4.53) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{s},$$

$$(4.54) \quad C_u^m = [C_q^m, R_s^m]_\theta.$$

Let s' denote the conjugate exponent of s . Then we have

$$R_s^m = [R^m, C^m]_{\frac{1}{s}} = [C^m, R^m]_{1-\frac{1}{s}} = C_{s'}^m,$$

Therefore, relations (4.53) and (4.54) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{s}, \\ \frac{1}{u} = \frac{1-\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{s'}. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} - \theta = \frac{2(1-\theta)}{q} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} + \theta = \frac{2\theta}{s}.$$

Thus, we have to show that there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} - \theta > 0, \quad 2(1-\theta) > \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} - \theta, \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} + \theta > 0, \quad 2\theta > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} + \theta.$$

These conditions are equivalent to

$$(4.55) \quad \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} \right| < \theta < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u}, 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} \right) \right\}.$$

Since $1 < p, u < \infty$, the following relations hold

$$(4.56) \quad \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} \right| < \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{u} \right\} < 1,$$

$$(4.57) \quad \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u}, 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} \right) \right\} > 0.$$

Since $1 < p, u$ we also have

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} < 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{u} - \frac{1}{p} < 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} \right).$$

Therefore, we see that

$$0 < \left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} \right| < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u}, 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} \right) \right\},$$

which implies the existence of some $0 < \theta < 1$ satisfying (4.55). Further, set

$$q = \frac{2(1-\theta)}{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{u} - \theta} \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{2\theta}{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{u} + \theta}.$$

The above relations ensure that $1 < q, s < \infty$ and therefore the claim is proved. Since C_q^m is $OUMD_q$ and R_s^m is $OUMD_s$, it follows by interpolation from (4.52) that C_u^m is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. This argument implies that C_u^m is $OUMD_p$. Moreover, using (3.47) and (3.32), we obtain from the proof the following estimates for the corresponding $OUMD_p$ constants

$$c_p(C_u^m) \leq [c_q(C_q^m)]^{1-\theta} [c_s(R_s^m)]^\theta \leq [c_q(S_q)]^{1-\theta} [c_s(S_s)]^\theta.$$

This implies that $\sup_m c_p(C_u^m) < \infty$, and the conclusion follows. $\square$

Remark 4.6. Let $1 < u, p < \infty$. By (2.7) it follows from the equivalence theorem for the complex method (Theorem 4.3.1 in [2]) that

$$(4.58) \quad (C_u)^* = R_{u'} \quad \text{and} \quad (R_u)^* = C_{u'},$$

where $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u'} = 1$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.5 and (5) in Proposition 3.12 it follows that R_u is $OUMD_p$.

Proposition 4.7. Let $1 < u, p < \infty$. Then the spaces $C_p \otimes^h C_u$, $C_u \otimes^h R_p$, $C_p \otimes^h R_u$, $R_u \otimes^h R_p$ are all $OUMD_p$.

Proof. Let m be a positive integer, $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra equipped with an n.s.f. tracial state τ and $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a filtration of $\mathcal{M}$. By Theorem 4.5 it follows that, in particular, C_u^m is $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n \otimes M_m)_{n \geq 1}$ of $(\mathcal{M} \otimes M_m, \tau \otimes \text{tr}_m)$. Therefore,

$$(4.59) \quad \|T_\varepsilon \otimes \text{Id}_{C_u^m} : L_p(\mathcal{M} \otimes M_m; C_u^m) \rightarrow L_p(\mathcal{M} \otimes M_m; C_u^m)\|_{cb} \leq c_p(C_u^m) \leq c_p(C_u) < \infty.$$

Fubini's theorem and (2.9) yield the complete isometries

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(\mathcal{M} \otimes M_m; C_u^m) &= L_p(\mathcal{M}; L_p(M_m; C_u^m)) \\ &= L_p(\mathcal{M}; S_p^m[C_u^m]) \\ &= L_p(\mathcal{M}; C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m). \end{aligned}$$

By the injectivity of the Haagerup tensor product it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(\mathcal{M}; C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m) &\subseteq L_p(\mathcal{M}; C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m); \\ L_p(\mathcal{M}; C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m) &\subseteq L_p(\mathcal{M}; C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m). \end{aligned}$$

By (4.59), it follows that $C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m$ and $C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m$ are both $OUMD_p$ with respect to the filtration $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since this filtration was arbitrarily chosen, this argument shows that $C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m$ and $C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m$ are both $OUMD_p$. Moreover, from the proof we obtain the following estimates for the corresponding constants

$$(4.60) \quad c_p(C_p^m \otimes^h C_u^m), c_p(C_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m) \leq c_p(C_u^m) \leq c_p(C_u) < \infty.$$

A similar argument applied to the space R_u^m , which is $OUMD_p$ as a subspace of R_u (see Remark 4.6), shows that $C_p^m \otimes^h R_u^m$ and $R_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m$ are both $OUMD_p$. Moreover,

$$(4.61) \quad c_p(C_p^m \otimes^h R_u^m), c_p(R_u^m \otimes^h R_p^m) \leq c_p(R_u^m) \leq c_p(R_u) < \infty.$$

Since m is arbitrarily chosen, the conclusion follows by density and the fact that $OUMD_p$ is a local property. $\square$

Proposition 4.8. *Let $1 < u, p < \infty$. Then the spaces $R_p \otimes^h C_u$, $C_u \otimes^h C_p$, $R_p \otimes^h R_u$ and $R_u \otimes^h C_p$ are all $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. Let m be a positive integer. By Proposition 4.7, the operator spaces $C_{p'}^m \otimes^h C_{u'}^m$, $C_{u'}^m \otimes^h R_{p'}^m$, $C_{p'}^m \otimes^h R_{u'}^m$ and $R_{u'}^m \otimes^h R_{p'}^m$ are all $OUMD_{p'}$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u'} = 1$. Hence, by (5) in Proposition 3.12, the dual spaces are $OUMD_p$. By (4.58) and the self-duality of the Haagerup tensor product in the finite dimensional case (see [17]), we obtain the complete isometries

$$(C_{p'}^m \otimes^h C_{u'}^m)^* = R_p^m \otimes^h R_u^m, \quad (C_{u'}^m \otimes^h R_{p'}^m)^* = R_u^m \otimes^h C_p^m,$$

respectively,

$$(C_{p'}^m \otimes^h R_{u'}^m)^* = R_p^m \otimes^h R_u^m, \quad (R_{u'}^m \otimes^h R_{p'}^m)^* = C_u^m \otimes^h C_p^m.$$

Moreover, from (4.60), (4.61) and (3.42) we obtain the following estimates for the corresponding $OUMD_p$ constants

$$\begin{aligned} c_p(R_p^m \otimes^h R_u^m), c_p(R_u^m \otimes^h C_p^m) &\leq c_{p'}(C_u^m) \leq c_{p'}(C_u) < \infty, \\ c_p(R_p^m \otimes^h R_u^m), c_p(C_u^m \otimes^h C_p^m) &\leq c_{p'}(R_u^m) \leq c_{p'}(R_u) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from the fact that $OUMD_p$ is a local property. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $1 < q < \infty$ satisfies $\frac{2p}{p+1} < q < 2p$, then S_q is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. We first show that there exist $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < u, v < \infty$ such that

$$(4.62) \quad [C_u, C_p]_\theta = C_q \quad \text{and} \quad [R_p, R_v]_\theta = R_q.$$

This is equivalent to showing that there exist $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < u, v < \infty$ such that the following relations hold

$$(4.63) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{u} + \frac{\theta}{p},$$

$$(4.64) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{v}.$$

By the assumption on q we have $\frac{2}{q} < 1 + \frac{1}{p}$. An easy computation shows that

$$p' \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) < \frac{p'}{q'},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. This ensures the existence of some $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$(4.65) \quad \left| p' \left(\frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right| < \theta < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p'}{q'} \right\}.$$

By (4.65) it follows that $\frac{1}{q} - \frac{\theta}{p} < 1 - \theta$. This implies the existence of some $1 < u < \infty$ such that (4.63) holds. Furthermore, (4.65) also shows that $\frac{1}{q} < \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \theta$. This implies the existence of some $1 < v < \infty$ such that (4.64) holds. Therefore the claim is proved.

An application of Kouba's interpolation result (Theorem 2.1) yields the complete isometry

$$(4.66) \quad \begin{aligned} [C_u \otimes^h R_p, C_p \otimes^h R_v]_\theta &= [C_u, C_p]_\theta \otimes^h [R_p, R_v]_\theta \\ &= C_q \otimes^h R_q = S_q. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.7, both spaces $C_u \otimes^h R_p$ and $C_p \otimes^h R_v$ are $OUMD_p$. Therefore, by (8) in Proposition 3.12, it follows by interpolation from (4.66) that S_q is $OUMD_p$. $\square$

Proposition 4.10. *If $1 < p < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, then $S_{p'}$ is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. Case 1: $2 \leq p$. We claim that there exist $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < u, v < \infty$ such that

$$(4.67) \quad [C_u, C_p]_\theta = C_{p'} \quad \text{and} \quad [R_p, R_v]_\theta = R_{p'}.$$

Equivalently,

$$(4.68) \quad \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1-\theta}{u} + \frac{\theta}{p},$$

$$(4.69) \quad \frac{1}{p'} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{v}.$$

Note that (4.68) is equivalent to

$$(4.70) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{u'} + \frac{\theta}{p'} \Leftrightarrow \frac{1+\theta}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{u'} + \theta,$$

where $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u'} = 1$. This yields a restriction upon θ as follows

$$(4.71) \quad \theta < \frac{1+\theta}{p} < 1 \Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{p-1} < \theta < p-1.$$

Note that since $2 < p < \infty$, we have $0 < \frac{1}{p-1} < 1 < p-1$. Therefore, the above relations yield the restriction

$$(4.72) \quad \frac{1}{p-1} < \theta < 1.$$

Choose $0 < \theta < 1$ such that (4.72) holds. Then we find $1 < u' < \infty$ by solving (4.70), and let u be the conjugate exponent of u' . Furthermore, note that (4.69) is equivalent to

$$(4.73) \quad 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{v} \Leftrightarrow 1 - \frac{2-\theta}{p} = \frac{\theta}{v}.$$

This implies that

$$(4.74) \quad 0 < \frac{2-\theta}{p} < 1 \Leftrightarrow p > 2-\theta,$$

which is obviously true, since by assumption $p > 2 > 2-\theta$. Therefore, we can solve (4.73) to find v . Our claim is completely proved. By Kouba's interpolation result and (4.67) we get

$$(4.75) \quad [C_u \otimes^h R_p, C_p \otimes^h R_v] = C_{p'} \otimes^h R_{p'} = S_{p'}.$$

By Proposition 4.7, both spaces $C_u \otimes^h R_p$ and $C_p \otimes^h R_v$ are $OUMD_p$. Hence, by (8) in Proposition 3.12, it follows by interpolation that $S_{p'}$ is $OUMD_p$.

Case 2: $1 < p < 2$. The assertion follows by duality. Indeed, since $2 < p' < \infty$, it follows by Case 1 that $S_{(p')'} = S_p$ is $OUMD_{p'}$. By (5) in Proposition 3.8, this implies that $S_{p'}$ is $OUMD_p$. $\square$

Lemma 4.11. *If $1 < q < \infty$, then S_q is $OUMD_2$.*

Proof. By Remark 4.2, S_q is $OUMD_q$. Also, by Proposition 4.10, S_q is $OUMD_{q'}$, where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. By (8) in Proposition 3.12, interpolation with exponent $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ yields the conclusion. $\square$

Theorem 4.12. *If $1 < p, q < \infty$, then S_q is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. Case 1: $2 \leq q < \infty$. We will first show that if $p \geq 2$, then S_q is $OUMD_p$. Indeed, if $p \geq q$, then we have $\frac{2p}{p+1} < 2 \leq q < 2p$. Thus, by Proposition 4.9, it follows that S_q is $OUMD_p$. The case $p = 2$ follows from Lemma 4.11. On the other hand, if $2 < p < q$, then there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$(4.76) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{2} + \frac{\theta}{q}.$$

By Lemma 4.11, S_q is $OUMD_2$. Also, by Remark 4.2, S_q is $OUMD_q$. Interpolating with exponent θ given by (4.76), it follows from (8) in Proposition 3.12 that S_q is $OUMD_p$, which

proves the claim. In particular, as a consequence it follows by duality that S_2 is $OUMD_u$, for all $1 < u < \infty$. Hence the case $q = 2$ is completely proved.

Furthermore, note that for $2 < q < \infty$ we have $1 < q' < 2$, where $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q'} = 1$. Assume that $q' < p < 2$. There exists $0 < \eta < 1$ such that

$$(4.77) \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\eta}{q'} + \frac{\eta}{2}.$$

By Proposition 4.10, S_q is $OUMD_{q'}$. Also, by Lemma 4.11, S_q is $OUMD_2$. Thus, by (8) in Proposition 3.12, interpolation with exponent θ given by (4.77) shows that S_q is $OUMD_p$. To summarize, we have proved so far that if $p \geq q'$, then S_q is $OUMD_p$. It remains to analyze the case when $1 < p < q'$. In this case we have $q' < 2 < p'$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. By what we proved above, it follows that S_q is $OUMD_{p'}$. By Proposition 4.10, S_p is $OUMD_{p'}$. Note that $p < q' < q$, hence there exists $0 < \xi < 1$ such that

$$(4.78) \quad \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1-\xi}{p} + \frac{\xi}{q}.$$

By (8) in Proposition 3.12, interpolation with exponent θ given by (4.78) implies that $S_{q'}$ is $OUMD_{p'}$. By duality, an application of (5) in Proposition 3.12 shows that S_q is $OUMD_p$.

Case 2: $1 < q < 2$. Note that $2 \leq q' < \infty$. Thus, for $1 < p < \infty$, it follows by Case 1 that $S_{q'}$ is $OUMD_{p'}$, where p' is the conjugate exponent of p . By duality, (5) in Proposition 3.12 implies that S_q is $OUMD_p$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proposition 4.13. *If $1 < p, q, u < \infty$, then $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. We first show that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_{u'}$, where $\frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{u'} = 1$. As showed in the proof of Theorem 4.5, there exist $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < v, s < \infty$, such that the following relations hold

$$(4.79) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{v} + \frac{\theta}{s},$$

$$(4.80) \quad \frac{1}{u} = \frac{1-\theta}{v} + \frac{\theta}{s'}.$$

Here s' denotes the conjugate exponent of s . It follows that $S_q = [S_v, S_s]_\theta$ and, respectively $S_u = [S_v, S_{s'}]_\theta$. An application of Corollary 1.4 in [48] shows that

$$(4.81) \quad S_q[S_u] = [S_v[S_v], S_s[S_{s'}]]_\theta.$$

Let v' be the conjugate exponent of v . By Proposition 4.12 it follows that $S_v[S_v] \simeq S_v(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ is $OUMD_{v'}$. Also, since $S_{s'}$ is $OUMD_s$, it follows by (3) in Proposition 3.12 that for all $m \geq 1$, $S_s^m[S_{s'}]$ is $OUMD_s$, and moreover, the corresponding constants satisfy $c_s(S_s^m[S_{s'}]) \leq c_s(S_{s'})$. Since $OUMD_{s'}$ is a local property, this implies that $S_s[S_{s'}]$ is $OUMD_s$. Furthermore, from (4.80) we easily deduce that

$$(4.82) \quad \frac{1}{u'} = \frac{1-\theta}{v'} + \frac{\theta}{s}.$$

Therefore, by (4.81) and (4.82) it follows by interpolation that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_{u'}$. Using the fact that S_u is $OUMD_q$, a similar argument as above shows that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_q$. By interpolation it follows that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_p$, for $\min\{u', q\} \leq p \leq \max\{u', q\}$.

Next, assume that $\max\{u', q, 2\} < p < \infty$. This implies that $p > \min\{2, u, q\}$. Let $1 < t_0 < \min\{2, u, q\} < p < t_1 < \infty$. There exists $0 < \eta < 1$ such that

$$(4.83) \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\eta}{t_1} + \frac{\eta}{t_0}.$$

Let $2 < w < \infty$ be such that

$$(4.84) \quad \frac{1}{u} = \frac{1-\eta}{w} + \frac{\eta}{t_0}.$$

By Corollary 1.4 of [48] it follows that

$$(4.85) \quad S_q[S_u] = [S_{t_1}[S_w], S_{t_0}[S_{t_0}]]_\eta.$$

Let w' denote the conjugate of w . Note that $w' < 2 < p < t_1$. Hence, as justified above, $S_{t_1}[S_w]$ is $OUMD_p$, while by Proposition 4.12, $S_{t_0}[S_{t_0}] \simeq S_{t_0}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ is $OUMD_p$. Therefore, by (4.85) it follows by interpolation that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_p$. Furthermore, since $\max\{u', q\} \leq \max\{u', q, 2\}$ an application of (8) in Proposition 3.12 shows that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_p$, for $\max\{u', q\} \leq p < \infty$. To summarize, so far we have showed that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_p$, for $\min\{u', q\} \leq p < \infty$. It remains to analyze the case when $1 < p < \min\{u', q\}$. This implies that $\min\{u, q'\} < p' < \infty$, where q' is the conjugate exponent of q . By what we proved above it follows that $S_{q'}[S_{u'}]$ is $OUMD_{p'}$. By Corollary 1.8 of [48], $(S_{q'}[S_{u'}])^* = S_q[S_u]$. Hence, by (5) in Proposition 3.12 it follows that $S_q[S_u]$ is $OUMD_p$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.14. *Let $1 < p, q, u < \infty$. Then the spaces $C_u \otimes^h R_q, C_u \otimes^h C_q, R_u \otimes^h C_q$ and $R_u \otimes^h R_q$ are all $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. By the injectivity and associativity properties of the Haagerup tensor product, we obtain

$$C_u \otimes^h R_q \subseteq C_u \otimes^h C_q \otimes^h R_q \otimes^h R_u = S_u[S_q].$$

By (2) of Proposition 3.12 and Proposition 4.13, it follows that $C_u \otimes^h R_q$ is $OUMD_p$. A similar argument applies for the spaces $C_u \otimes^h C_q, R_u \otimes^h C_q$ and $R_u \otimes^h R_q$. $\square$

Recall that a C^* -algebra A has the *weak expectation property* (*WEP*) of Lance [35], if for the universal representation $A \subset A^{**} \subset \mathcal{B}(H)$ there exists a contraction $P : \mathcal{B}(H) \rightarrow A^{**}$ such that $P|_A = \text{Id}_A$. A C^* -algebra B is said to be *QWEP* if it is a *quotient* of a *WEP* C^* -algebra; more precisely, there exists a C^* -algebra A with the *WEP* and a closed two-sided ideal I such that $B = A/I$. It is a long standing problem whether every C^* -algebra is *QWEP* (see Kirchberg [33] for many equivalent formulations). Note that an injective von Neumann algebra has the *WEP*, and, therefore, it is *QWEP*. Also, it was proved by Wassermann [58] that for $n \geq 2$, $VN(\mathbb{F}_n)$ is *QWEP*, where $\mathbb{F}_n$ is the free group on n generators.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a QWEP von Neumann algebra equipped with an n.f. tracial state τ . Then $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < p, q < \infty$.*

Proof. By results of Junge [25], it follows that $L_q(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is completely contractively complemented in an ultrapower $(S_q)_{\mathcal{U}}$. Therefore, combining Theorem 4.12 with (6) and (2) of Proposition 3.12, we obtain the conclusion. $\square$

Remark 4.16. As a corollary, it follows that if G is an amenable group (in which case $VN(G)$ is an injective von Neumann algebra), or $G = \mathbb{F}_n (n \geq 2)$, then $L_q(VN(G), \tau)$ is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < p, q < \infty$.

Corollary 4.17. *Let $1 < p, q, u < \infty$. If $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is hyperfinite von Neumann algebra and $(\mathcal{N}, \phi)$ is a QWEP von Neumann algebra equipped with n.f. tracial states τ and ϕ , respectively, then $L_q(\mathcal{M}; L_u(\mathcal{N}, \phi))$ is $OUMD_p$.*

Proof. Let $m \geq 1$. Using Junge's results from [25], together with the injectivity and associativity properties of the Haagerup tensor product, we obtain a completely contractive inclusion

$$(4.86) \quad S_q^m[L_u(\mathcal{N}, \phi)] \hookrightarrow S_q^m[(S_u)_{\mathcal{U}}].$$

By Lemma 5.4 of [48], we have the complete isometry

$$(4.87) \quad S_q^m[(S_u)_{\mathcal{U}}] = (S_q^m[S_u])_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 4.13, together with (6), (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.12 it follows that $S_q^m[L_u(\mathcal{N}, \phi)]$ is $OUMD_p$ and, moreover,

$$c_p(S_q^m[L_u(\mathcal{N}, \phi)]) \leq c_p(S_q[S_u]).$$

Since the spaces $S_q^m[L_u(\mathcal{N}, \phi)]$ are dense in $L_q(\mathcal{M}; L_u(\mathcal{N}, \phi))$ and $OUMD_p$ is a local property, the conclusion follows. $\square$

We end this section with a discussion about the operator space UMD property for the noncommutative Lorentz spaces $L_{q,s}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ associated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra. We briefly recall some definitions. Let x be a τ -measurable operator affiliated with $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Following Fack and Kosaki [21], the t -th singular number of x is

$$\mu_t(x) = \inf\{\|xe\| : e \text{ is a projection in } \mathcal{M}, \tau(1 - e) \leq t\}.$$

Following the general scheme of *symmetric operator spaces* associated to $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and a rearrangement invariant Banach function space developed in [15] and [16], the noncommutative Lorentz spaces are defined as

$$L_{q,s}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{x \in L_0(\mathcal{M}) : \mu(x) \in L_{q,s}((0, \infty), m)\}, \quad \|x\|_{L_{q,s}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)} = \|\mu(x)\|_{p,q}.$$

We refer the reader to Randrianantoanina [52] and Xu [59, 60] for more details on the noncommutative Lorentz spaces.

Proposition 4.18. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be a hyperfinite von Neumann algebra, equipped with an n.s.f. tracial state τ . Then $L_{q,s}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is $OUMD_p$, for $1 < q, s, p < \infty$.*

Proof. There exists $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < q_1, q_2 < \infty$, such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_1} + \frac{\theta}{q_2}$. The formula describing the K-functional for the couple $(\mathcal{M}, L_1(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ ([50], Corollary 2.3) together with the reiteration result proved in [60], Theorem 5.4(ii) yield the complete isometry

$$(4.88) \quad L_{q,s}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = [L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]_{\theta,s}.$$

We refer to Xu's paper [60] for details on the operator space structure of the real interpolation space $[L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]_{\theta,s}$. In particular, it is proved in [60] that the following completely isometric embedding holds

$$(4.89) \quad [L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau), L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]_{\theta,s} \hookrightarrow l_s(\{L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) +_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}_k; 2^{-k\theta}),$$

where $L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) +_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is defined as a quotient of $L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \oplus_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, for $k \geq 1$. Then, by (2.6)' of [48], the space $l_s(\{L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) +_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}_k; 2^{-k\theta})$ is a quotient of the operator space $l_s(\{L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \oplus_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}_k; 2^{-k\theta})$. Therefore, by (2) of Proposition 3.12 it suffices to show that $l_s(\{L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M})\}_k; 2^{-k\theta})$ is $OUMD_p$. Note that we have the complete isometry

$$(4.90) \quad l_s(\{L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}) \oplus_s 2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M})\}_k; 2^{-k\theta}) = l_s(L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M})) \oplus_s l_s(\{2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M})\}_k; 2^{-k\theta}).$$

The space $l_s(L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ is completely isometric to the subspace of $S_s[L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]$ formed by all the diagonal matrices (see [48]); moreover, the usual projection onto this subspace is a complete contraction. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is hyperfinite, it follows by Corollary 4.17 that $S_s[L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)]$ is $OUMD_p$. Thus, by (1) of Proposition 3.12, it follows that $l_s(L_{q_1}(\mathcal{M}, \tau))$ is $OUMD_p$. Similar arguments show that $l_s(\{2^{-k} L_{q_2}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)\}_k; 2^{-k\theta})$ is $OUMD_p$, as well. The conclusion follows from (7) of Proposition 3.12. $\square$

Question: It was a question of Zhong-Jin Ruan whether the column Hilbert space C is $OUMD_p$ for some (all) $1 < p < \infty$. By Lemma 3.14, if C is $OUMD_p$ for some $1 < p < \infty$, then $S_p[C]$ is UMD as a Banach space. Using the characterization of superreflexivity in terms of ultraproducts (see Heinrich [23]), together with results of Junge and Sherman from [28], we were able to prove that $S_p[C]$ is a superreflexive Banach space. During discussions initiated by Gilles Pisier, Timur Oikhberg found a surprisingly short proof of this result, which we present below. Recall that by (2.7) we have $C_2 = [C, R]_{\frac{1}{2}} = [R, C]_{\frac{1}{2}} = R_2$. By (2.9) and Kouba's theorem it follows that

$$(4.91) \quad S_2[C] = [C, R]_{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes^h C \otimes^h [C, R]_{\frac{1}{2}} = [C \otimes^h C \otimes^h C, R \otimes^h C \otimes^h R]_{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that as a Banach space, $C \otimes^h C \otimes^h C$ is isometric to a Hilbert space, hence it is superreflexive. Pisier (see [43]) proved that, given a compatible couple of Banach spaces B_0, B_1 , if one of them is superreflexive, then for $0 < \theta < 1$ the interpolation space $[B_0, B_1]_\theta$ is superreflexive, as well. Therefore, by (4.91) we conclude that $S_2[C]$ is a superreflexive Banach space. For $1 < p < \infty$, by (2.6) we have the (complete) isometry

$$S_p[C] = [S_\infty[C], S_2[C]]_{\frac{1}{2p}},$$

A further application of Pisier's result mentioned above yields the conclusion.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. J. ALDOUS, *Unconditional bases and martingales in $L_p(F)$* , Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **85** (1979), 117-123.
- [2] J. BERGH AND J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation Spaces. An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, New-York 1976.
- [3] E. BERKSON, T. A. GILLESPIE AND P. S. MUHLY, *Abstract spectral decompositions guaranteed by the Hilbert transform*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **53** (1986), 489-517.
- [4] D. BLECHER AND V. PAULSEN, *Tensor products of operator spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **99** (1991), 262-292.
- [5] J. BOURGAIN, *Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional*, Ark. för Math. **21** (1983), 163-168.
- [6] J. BOURGAIN, *Vector-valued singular integrals and the H^1 -BMO duality*, in: Probability Theory and Harmonic Analysis, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1986, 1-19.
- [7] J. BRETAGNOLLE, D. DACUNHA-CASTELLE AND J.-L. KRIVINE, *Lois stables et espaces L^p* , Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.), Vol II, **3** (1966/1967), 231-259.
- [8] D. L. BURKHOLDER, *Martingale transforms*, Ann. Math. Statist. **37** (1966), 1494-1504.
- [9] D. L. BURKHOLDER, *A geometric condition that implies the existence of certain singular integrals of Banach-space-valued functions*, in: Conference on Harmonic Analysis in Honor of Anthony Zygmund, Chicago, 1981 (Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983), 270-286.
- [10] D. L. BURKHOLDER, *Martingales and Fourier analysis in Banach spaces*, in: Probability and Analysis (Varenna, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math. **1206** (Springer, Berlin, 1986), 61-108.
- [11] D. L. BURKHOLDER, *Sharp inequalities for martingales and stochastic integrals*, in Colloque Paul Lévy (Palaiseau, 1987), Astérisque **157-158** (1988), 75-94.
- [12] D. L. BURKHOLDER, *Martingales and singular integrals in Banach spaces*, Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces, Volume 1, W.B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss editors, North Holland (2001), 233-269.
- [13] A. CONNES, *Classification of injective factors. Cases II_1 , II_∞ , III_λ , $\lambda \neq 1$.*, Ann. Math. **104** (1976), 73-115.
- [14] D. DACUNHA-CASTELLE AND J.-L. KRIVINE, *Applications des ultraproducts à l'étude des espaces et des algèbres de Banach*, Studia Math. **41** (1972), 315-334.
- [15] P. G. DODDS, T. K. DODDS AND B. DE PAGTER, *Non-commutative Banach function spaces*, Math. Z. **201** (1989), 583-597.
- [16] P. G. DODDS, T. K. DODDS AND B. DE PAGTER, *Fully symmetric operator spaces*, Integr. Eq. Op. Theory Vol **15** (1992), 942-972.
- [17] E. EFFROS AND Z.-J. RUAN, *Self duality for the Haagerup tensor product and Hilbert space factorization*, J. Funct. Analysis **100** (1991), 257-284.
- [18] E. EFFROS AND Z.-J. RUAN, *Mapping spaces and liftings for operator spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **69** (1994), 171-197.

- [19] E. EFFROS AND Z.-J. RUAN, *The Grothendieck-Pietsch and Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorems for operator spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **122** (1994), 428-450.
- [20] E. EFFROS AND Z.-J. RUAN, *Operator Spaces*, London Math. Soc. Monographs New Series **23**, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [21] T. FACK AND H. KOSAKI, *Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators*, Pacific J. Math. Vol. 123, No. **2** (1986), 269-300.
- [22] J. A. GUTIÉRREZ, *On the Boundedness of the Banach Space-Valued Hilbert Transform*, Dissertation, University of Texas, Austin, 1982.
- [23] S. HEINRICH, *Ultraproducts in Banach space theory*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **313** (1980), 72-104.
- [24] M. JUNGE, *Doob's inequality for noncommutative martingales*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **549** (2002), 149-190.
- [25] M. JUNGE, *Fubini's theorem for ultraproducts of noncommutative L_p -spaces*, Canadian J. Math., to appear.
- [26] M. JUNGE AND M. MUSAT, *A noncommutative version of the John-Nirenberg theorem*, Preprint, 2004.
- [27] M. JUNGE AND Z.-J. RUAN, *Approximation properties for noncommutative L_p spaces associated with discrete groups*, Duke Math. J. **117** (2003), 313-341..
- [28] M. JUNGE AND D. SHERMAN, *Noncommutative L_p modules*, J. Operator Theory, to appear.
- [29] M. JUNGE AND Q. XU, *Non-commutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities*, Ann. Probab. **31** (2003) no. 2, 948-995.
- [30] M. JUNGE AND Q. XU, *Non-commutative Burkholder/Rosenthal inequalities: Applications*, In preparation.
- [31] M. JUNGE, Q. XU: *The optimal orders of growth of the best constants in some non-commutative martingale inequalities*, Preprint, 2001.
- [32] R. V. KADISON AND J. R. RINGROSE, *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras I, II*, Academic Press, 1986.
- [33] E. KIRCHBERG, *On non-semisplit extensions, tensor products and exactness of group C^* -algebra*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 449-489.
- [34] O. KOUBA, *On the interpolation of injective or projective tensor products of Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **96** (1991), 38-61.
- [35] E. C. LANCE, *Nuclear C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Analysis **12** (1973), 157-176.
- [36] B. MAUREY, *Système de Haar*, in: Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz, 1974-1975 (École Polytechnique, Paris, 1975).
- [37] T. R. MCCONNELL, *On Fourier multiplier transformations of Banach-valued functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **285** (1984), 739-757.
- [38] T. MEI: *Operator-valued Hardy spaces*, Preprint.
- [39] M. MUSAT: *On the operator space UMD property and noncommutative martingale inequalities*, Ph.D. Thesis, 2002 (www.math.ucsd.edu/~mmusat).
- [40] M. MUSAT: *Interpolation between non-commutative BMO and non-commutative L_p -spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **202** (2003), 195-225.
- [41] E. NELSON, *Notes on noncommutative integration*, J. Funct. Analysis **15** (1974), 103-116.
- [42] V. PAULSEN, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Res. Notes, Longman Sci. Tech., London, 1986.
- [43] G. PISIER, *Un exemple concernant la super-réflexivité*, in: Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz, 1974-1975 (École Polytechnique, Paris, 1975).
- [44] G. PISIER, *Factorization of operator valued analytic functions*, Adv. in Math. **93** (1992), 61-125.
- [45] G. PISIER, *Exact operator spaces*, Colloque sur les algèbres d'opérateurs, in: Recent advances in operator algebras (Orléans, 1992), Astérisque **232** (1995), 159-186.
- [46] G. PISIER, *The Operator Hilbert Space OH , Complex Interpolation and Tensor Norms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1996), no. 595.

- [47] G. PISIER AND Q. XU, *Non-commutative martingale inequalities*, Comm. Math. Phys. **189** (1997), no. 3, 667-698.
- [48] G. PISIER, *Non-commutative Vector Valued L_p -Spaces and Completely p -Summing Maps*, Astérisque No. **247**, 1998.
- [49] G. PISIER, *An Introduction to the Theory of Operator Spaces*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Series **294**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2003.
- [50] G. PISIER AND Q. XU, *Non-commutative L^p -spaces*, *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces II*, Ed. W.B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, North Holland (2003), 1459-1517.
- [51] N. RANDRIANANTOANINA, *Non-commutative martingale transforms*, J. Funct. Analysis **194** (2002), 181-212.
- [52] N. RANDRIANANTOANINA, *Embeddings of l_p into noncommutative spaces*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **74** (2003), no. 3, 331-350.
- [53] N. RANDRIANANTOANINA: *A weak type inequality for non-commutative martingales and applications*, Preprint.
- [54] Z.-J. RUAN, *Subspaces of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Analysis **76** (1988), 217-230.
- [55] M. TAKESAKI, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1979.
- [56] M. TERP, *L^p -spaces associated with von Neumann algebras I and II*, Copenhagen Univ., 1981.
- [57] A. M. TORPE, *Notes on Nuclear C^* -algebras and Injective von Neumann Algebras*, Odense Univ., 1981.
- [58] S. WASSERMANN, *On tensor products of certain group C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Analysis **23** (1976), 239-254.
- [59] Q. XU, *Applications du théorème de factorization pour les fonctions à valeurs opérateurs*, Studia Math. **95** (1990), 273-292.
- [60] Q. XU, *Interpolation of operator spaces*, J. Funct. Analysis **139** (1996), 500-539.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 0112, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CA 92093-0112
E-mail address: mmusat@math.ucsd.edu